

H 10 18	Sea	a Acce	ptance	Test	# E	
Product: Produkt:	EM 710 N	lultibeam E	cho Sound	er	e 8 - a	2.
Product reg.no: Produkt reg.nr.	(23.4) (23.4)	6 X	Rev.A Created by: Rev.A Laget av:			
Doc.reg.no: Dokument reg.nr:	840-223304		Rev.A Controlled by: Rev.A Sjekket av:		BHL	
Doc.archive no: Dokument arkiv-nr:	AA000			A Approved by: A Godkjent av:	KEN	
Revision: Revisjon:	Α	В	C	D	E	F
Date:	April 18, 2005	19 Jan 2011	02 Oct 2012	08 Oct 2012		

Contents

1	D	OCUMENT HISTORY	2
2	11	NTRODUCTION	2
3	R	REFERENCES	2
4	T	EST EQUIPMENT	2
5	L	.IST OF ITEMS	3
6	C	CONFIGURATION	3
7	S	OFTWARE	4
8	II	NTERCONNECTION/ARRANGEMENT	4
9	T	EST PROCEDURE	5
9	9.1	Test of Interfaces	
	9.2	Sensor Offset/Calibration	6
	9.3	Survey	7
	9.4	Noise and Sea Conditions Performance Assessment	8
	9.5	Data records	10
10)	TESTIMONIAL	11

1 DOCUMENT HISTORY

Rev	Description of changes	Created by
Α	Original issue.	KTU
В	Layout modifications	
С	Added Data records section	KTU
D	Corrected SAT survey recommendation	KTU

2 INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this procedure is to verify that the system as installed is fully functional at sea, and to serve as a record of the successful completion of the Sea Acceptance Test. It is to be followed to verify correct functioning of the multibeam echo sounder and the various external sensors or systems as an integrated mapping system. It will also verify that the system interfaces and peripherals are functional.

The sea trials shall establish:

- · that the different EM 710 units work properly at sea
- that the heave, roll and pitch signals are correctly used
- · that the heading signal is correctly used
- that the sound speed input data are correctly used
- · that the positioning system data are correctly used
- that the system is capable of providing good depth data consistently
- that the system during operation produces digital data to its internal storage devices and, if available, to an external logging system connected via Ethernet

The Sea Acceptance Test shall consist of a verification of correct interfacing of external sensors, a calibration of external sensor offsets and time delays, a test survey, and assessment of the data from the test survey. In addition, as far as time and external conditions allow, limitations on system performance as a function of water depth, vessel speed and sea state shall be established.

3 REFERENCES

Factory and Harbour Acceptance Test records.

4 TEST EQUIPMENT

No special test equipment is required for the Sea Acceptance Test, but all sensors normally needed for surveying with a Multibeam echo sounder shall be available.

5 LIST OF ITEMS

The items which are to be tested, will depend on the particular configuration. Use the manufacturer type number column to indicate which items are actually included in this particular delivery or furnished by the owner to be used with the system.

List of	items to be tested	, a	2.000	
Item	Manufacturer, type and/or registration number	Equipment	Serial number	
1	125-223 59	EM 710 TRANSCEIVER UNIT	330	1
2	HPMP8300	HYDROGRAPHIC WORKSTATION	CZC340746	√
3	POS/MV V5	HEADING SENSOR	9cs 6514 1	W 2597
4	POS/MV VS	MOTION SENSOR	145 6514	IM 2597
5	AML Smart X	FIXED SOUND SPEED SENSOR	020112	
6	XIST	SOUND SPEED PROFILE SENSOR		
7	EMAY POSIMV	POSITIONING SYSTEM	PCS 6514 1	MU 2592
8				
9		7		

6 CONFIGURATION

The modules and circuit boards included in the system and their serial numbers were noted in the Factory and Harbour Acceptance tests. Any replacement modules or circuit boards since the HAT must be noted.

Replac	cement list		
Item	Equipment	Registration number	Serial number
1	8		3 10
2	N 8 2 4 9	4	100
3	Same a		
4			
5	Sec. III. Sec. III.	== (Y	
6		(2)	- m - m

7 SOFTWARE

The system software version must be noted, including the subsystems, and reflecting any changes made during the trials.

ltem	Equipment	Version number	Version date
1	BSP 67B master version	21.4	124248
2	BSP 67B slave version	2.14	124248
3	CPU version	2.4.0	154416
4	DDS version	3.5.10	148186
5	RX 32 version	1.11	feb 15 2010
6	TX 36 version	1.89	Dec 16 2005
7	SIS Software version (press the icon located on the bottom line in the SIS window)		-4.3.1 Dee18

8 INTERCONNECTION/ARRANGEMENT

The system shall have been installed according to the Installation Manual. Note the locations of the transducers, motion sensor(s) and positioning system(s) as entered on the Operator Station:

	X (forward pos)	Y (starboard pos)	Z (downwards)	Ĭ
TX transducer	3.177	+0.285	0.988 919	
RX transducer	-4,604	+0.629	0.987	
Motion sensor no 1				7
Motion sensor no 2			TWO IS DESTRUCTED OF	Hardland
Positioning system no 1	-8.828	-6.791	21.855	
Positioning system no 2	27.11.54.70		- A/4	POSIMV
Positioning system no 3				Position
Pos. system Ethernet	-1		= 1 2	J
Waterline downward	NA	NA	-3.37	

Note the transducer alignment angles as entered on the Operator Station:

	Roll	Pitch	Heading
TX transducer	0.083	-0.008	359.923
RX transducer	0.051	0.027	0,008

9 TEST PROCEDURE

The test will be documented through the tables on the following pages. The tests shall generally be done in the following order:

- Interface tests
- Calibration
- Survey
- Data assessment

Assessment of the survey data collected should preferably be done on board.

Note that the noise measurements and test of performance with regard to depth and/or sea state are to be run in the order which best suits the conditions during the test period. It is not expected that many different conditions will be encountered during the limited time available for the sea acceptance test. However, it is strongly advised that as different conditions are encountered during later use of the system, the system performance as a function of external conditions is noted, for example in this record. This will be valuable for later use in survey planning and in ensuring the most efficient use of the system.

9.1 Test of Interfaces

Tests of the external sensor interfaces should have been run during the Harbour Acceptance test. However, these tests were necessarily limited (static only), and may not even have been done due to non-availability or non-functionality of external sensors. Thus the data from the external sensors should be observed on the system display during vessel maneuvering, and verified for correctness (positions and clock) or correct sign and/or reasonable magnitude (heave, roll, pitch, heading and sound speed).

Data will be logged during the test, all connected hard-copy devices should be employed, and sound speed profiles loaded into the system. Observe that this is functional. Fill in the table below to record this.

Test no.	Function to be tested	Test result	Notes
1	Position input	OK	
2	External clock input	OK	
3	Transducer depth sound speed input	OK	9
4	Sound speed profile input	OIC	10.05
5	Heading input	OK	I P
6	Motion data input	OK	
7	Data output to internal storage	OK	3 November 1
8	Data output to external storage	OC	
9	Data output to external Ethernet	Ob	Nati dangram issuo, se
10	Postscript printer	NA	u u
11	Printer/plotter/recorder output	NIA	

9.2 Sensor Offset/Calibration

The offset or zero bias of the roll, pitch and heading sensors and the time delay of the position system(s) are to be measured or estimated before leaving port if possible (this is especially important with regard to the heading sensor). A calibration of these offsets shall be performed at sea as the second part of the test in accordance with the procedures given in the Operator Manual. Finally, these offsets shall be estimated from the final test survey. Fill in the table below with the offsets as entered into the Operator Station:

	Port Estimate	Calibration result	Final Estimate
Roll offset system 1		f H u	0.03
Roll offset system 2	0.833		
Pitch offset system 1		Ü	0.05
Pitch offset system 2			
Heading offset system 1			-1,20
Heading offset system 2			100
Position time delay system 1			Í
Position time delay system 2			
Position time delay system 3			

Note the positioning system type used durin	g the sea acceptance test and its estimated accuracy:
Positioning system type 1:	g the sea acceptance test and its estimated accuracy:
Estimated accuracy for position system:	0.087 nets
·	
Estimated accuracy for position system:	
Positioning system type 3:	
Estimated accuracy for position system:	

9.3 Survey

The integrity of the total survey system consisting of the multibeam echo sounder as installed on the vessel, motion sensor, heading sensor, sound speed sensor(s), and positioning system(s) shall be assessed by doing a survey of a limited area and inspecting the collected data. The result should be compared against the specified accuracy of the echo sounder, taking into account the precision of the external sensors, and any limitations imposed by the vessel and its handling. Note that this test is not designed to measure the accuracy of the echo sounder itself, as this would require a much more extensive test period, and has been done on previous system installations.

The sea acceptance test's main part will be a sensor calibration followed by a system assessment survey in the calibration area. The area used for the sea trials should thus consist at least partly of a relatively flat bottom and partly of a significant slope as required for a calibration in accordance with the guidelines for calibration as given in the Operator Manual. In case this is not possible the calibration of the various sensors must be run in separate areas while the final assessment survey should be run in the flat part used for roll calibration. The depth should then ideally be in the $100-300\,$ m range (not critical).

Five parallel lines should be run with line spacing equal to about one quarter of the achieved coverage in the actual area. Neighboring lines should be run in opposite directions. The line length should be in the order of twice the achieved coverage. A sixth line should be run perpendicular to and across the five previous lines.

Assess the data with the system's grid display using a grid cell size giving about 10-20 soundings per cell. Using the various display options, investigate the frequency and magnitude of outliers, discrepancies between lines, and depth differences within cells. Use also the calibration profile displays to assess any remaining errors due to roll offset or sound speed profile problems. If the performance of the system is not according to expectation, describe the results in the Comment section below, otherwise note that the system performance is accepted. Any un-resolvable performance problems should be further investigated and quantified with a post-processing system such as Neptune from Kongsberg.

Note the area with positions and depths where the Customer Acceptance Test has been performed:

CAT area: Atlantic Ocean, of the	Us. Coast +	com charleston so
CAT area: Atlantic Ocean of Hel	31,81N	78.544W
CAT depth: 600 nelos.		,

9.4 Noise and Sea Conditions Performance Assessment

During the sea acceptance test, the performance of the whole system shall be assessed. The important factors limiting achievable accuracy and coverage are noise (vessel and environmental) and sea state. With heavy seas it is to be expected that the performance will also depend upon vessel heading with respect to wave direction. On some vessels the noise level at particular speeds and propeller revolutions may also affect coverage. It is recommended to assess achieved coverage as a function of environmental parameters both during the sea acceptance test and later operation. The results should be entered in the table below, both to document conditions during the test and later to have a record of the system's performance according to external conditions.

The noise experienced by the system may be measured from the Operator Station as described in the Operator Manual. Several measurements should be taken and the result averaged before noting it in the table below:

Date	Depth (m)	Sea State	Heading Against Waves	Speed	RPM	Noise	Comments
9411	2000	7-10	137	24	469.	36lB	11 Ja - 100.
03/1/	2000	7-10	137	4/4	622	36dB	
02/11	2000	740	137	6kH	64%	XdB	
03/11	2000	7-10	137	8kts	78%	3686	77
11/60	2200	7-10	137	10Kk	94%	378	×:
02/11	2001	7-10	137	12kta	100%	370B	W THE II IN
	1		W. 25 1	1.6			4 - N
	jt.	1 15	Mi	. 1.	1	2.7	Waling the second

The coverage is assessed by observing the swath width on the Operator Station on a reasonably flat bottom. The average of several pings and any occurrence of missed pings should be noted in the table below:

Date	Depth (m)	Sea State	Heading Against Waves	Speed (kn)	RPM	Abs. coeff.	Ceverage (m) Accross	Missing Pings, Comments
2/14	1642	2-3	320	13	NA	35	2300	
2/14	isli	2-3	314	13	NA	35	7788	25 25 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200
2/14.	1379	2-3	294	13	MA	35	2289	
2/14	1314	2-3	299	13	NI.4	35	2441	
2/4	1185	2-3	298	13	MA	35	2033	At Language Manager
2/14	1154	2-3	301	13	M/A	35	1994	
214	1108	2-3	299	13	NA	35	2306	
2/14	1085	2-3	299	13	NA	35	2392	
214	1051	2-3	297	13	MA	35	2393	0.550 0.00
2/14	1035	2-3	274	13	NA	35	2388	
2/14	994	2-3	298	13	NA	35	2298	
2/14	986	2-3	299	13	NIA	35	2290	
2/14	767	2-3	297	13	NA	35	2116	
2/14	953	2-3	298	13	NA	35	2016	2
2/14	944	2-3	294	13	NA	35	2016	770
	_							
						is to	11 vē 4	
			- springspens and an	na compani (g h f _e d	# V7.1		- ve s	

9.5 Data records

From the Operator Station (SIS):

- Run all Built in System Tests. Save the BIST results to file.
- Run the System Info test and save to file (This file contains info about registration numbers, serial numbers, software versions etc).
- Save PU parameters to file (this file contains information about runtime and installation parameters).

Transfer these files to Kongsberg Maritime head office for update of the ships installation records (Archive).

10 TESTIMONIAL WHOT, NETC ALMSTONIA The SEA ACCEPTANCE TEST for the EM 710, for					
Remarks: SIS had keeps will dites not send actor Ver defined experience expert of centerbeen	th import lexport roundegervent 1 Recording to be bedatagram v	of datyrams of type. The ethernet.			
Test performed by	Position	Date			
10M Duhlheim (Please use capital letters)	Field Engineer	feb 16, 2016			
Test accepted by	Position	Date			
(Please use capital letters)	SSSGTech	16 Fcb 2016			
Signatures:	and				

the state of the s